Christine Joyce

11/22/10 6

From: Lauren Rosenzweig [lsr57@comcast.net]

Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2010 2:28 PM

To: Board of Selectmen

Subject: Fwd: An idea

FYI--this was part of the discussion at ALG this morning. Xuan Kong will be putting together a slide presentation to present it to the local school committee tonight. On December 2 the Regional School Committee meets, and only they can vote to change the Regional Assessment. I wanted our Board to see the thought process prior to it being presented to the schools tonight. We, the BOS, will discuss it on Monday night.

Lauren

Begin forwarded message:

Begin forwarded message:

From: Lauren Rosenzweig < lsr57@comcast.net >

Date: November 17, 2010 11:21:07 PM EST **To:** Mary Ann Ashton < MABAshton@aol.com >

Subject: An idea

Hi Mary Ann,

I re-read your narrative of the Waterfall, which was created to address any deviation of estimated Chapter 70 and the actual amount we received. We started with a delta of \$1.2 million--700+300,000 is already appropriated and accounted for. This leaves +/- 200,000 left. Your calculations show this to be 288,000, which I will round to 290,000.

Based on the typical split, the town share is \$87,000, and the school share is \$203,000.

An "easy" logical remedy is to lower the school regional assessment to reach that amount (the 203,00) and the town meet the \$87,000. If we can accomplish this amount within the recap that would be a plus. If not, the lowering of the regional assessment may have to cover up to the full amount.

Does that make sense?

Lauren

Christine Joyce

From: Lauren Rosenzweig [lsr57@comcast.net]

Sent: Wednesday, November 17, 2010 10:51 PM

To: Board of Selectmen Subject: Fwd: Waterfall sheet

FYI--For discussion Monday night.

Begin forwarded message:

From: MABAshton@aol.com

Date: November 5, 2010 3:25:31 PM EDT

To: lsr57@comcast.net
Subject: Waterfall sheet

Here you go . . .

Here's my description of the waterfall - what it was intended to do, and how it's been implemented so far . . . as well as the sheet that I put together in September to explain. I think the bottom part of the sheet needs to be updated to account for taking the Ed Jobs, and ARRA grants out of the equation, and to account for the money freed up by the Region reducing the assessment as well as a more precise number on the shortfall for FY11 local receipts.

Let me know if you have questions. Mary Ann

When we began to project state aid last year, we assumed that state aid for Chapter 70 would be 10 percent less than it had been the previous year. (This is also where we're starting with projecting state aid for THIS next budget year, so it's relevant to how we are to proceed.) When Governor Patrick announced his budget at the same level of Chapter 70 in January, people started trying to plan for various contingencies. Bill Mullin suggested the Waterfall as a way to cope with the uncertainty. If state aid was more than the 10 percent cut from the Governor's budget that we were expecting, there would be a plan about how to proceed. The local SC agreed to support the concept, and the BOS and Fincom did as well. In fact, when budgets were passed Chapter 70 was 4 percent less than the Governor's budget, so there was approximately \$1.2 million "in the waterfall." As shown on the attached sheet, which has been shared with the SC, BOS, and ALG, the first two steps were undertaken in the budgets that went to Town Meeting: \$300K to take care of the APS debt so this year's budget would not be burdened with this, and \$700K split 70/30 between schools and town, so cuts would not have to be enacted. This money, along with a \$96K share from the town budget, allowed the school committee to avoid \$1 million of personnel cuts right before Town Meeting.

| CHAPITER 70 SCENARIOS | | | | January 27, 2010 ALG | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------------|-----|-------------------------|------|-----------------|-------|------------|----|-------------|
| | F | Y10 Cherry | | FY11 - | F | Y11 Cherry | | FY11 - | F | Y11 - Final |
| • | 5 | Sheet - per | R | leduce 10% | • | Sheet - Est | F | Reduce 5% | ٧ | oted State |
| | Αl | LG 11/16/09 | 1 | from FY10 | fr | om Gov H2 | | from H2 | | Budget |
| Acton | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ch70 | \$ | 5,123,578 | | | \$ | 5,480,709 | \$ | 5,206,674 | \$ | 5,160,527 |
| SFSF Stimulus, Ed Jobs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal Ed Aid | \$ | 5,123,578 | | | \$ | 5,480,709 | \$ | 5,206,674 | \$ | 5,160,527 |
| All else* | \$ | 1,409,578 | | | \$ | 1,423,382 | \$ | 1,352,213 | \$ | 1,357,506 |
| Subtotal | \$ | 6,533,156 | | | \$ | 6,904,091 | \$ | 6,558,886 | \$ | 6,518,033 |
| Less Assessments | \$ | (225,654) | | | \$ | (239,102) | \$ | (239,102) | \$ | (221,208) |
| Net Cherry Sheet | \$ | 6,270,313 | \$ | 5,643,282 | \$ | 6,664,989 | \$ | 6,319,784 | \$ | 6,296,825 |
| Acton-Boxborough | | | | | | | | | | |
| Regional Revenue | \$ | 5,733,300 | \$ | 5,159,970 | \$ | 6,806,843 | \$ | 5,772,074 | \$ | 5,722,960 |
| SFSF Stimulus, Ed Jobs - Acton share (est) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal for State Aid Revenues | | | \$ | 10,803,252 | \$ | 13,471,832 | \$ | 12,091,858 | \$ | 12,019,785 |
| *All else = General Govt Aid, School Lunch, Police Caree | r Ince | ntive, Veterans | Ber | nefits, State own | ed I | and, Exemptions | s, Li | braries | | |

EXAMPLES OF HOW WATERFALL PLAN WOULD WORK

| Waterfall Plan - increased State Aid revenues from January 27, 2010 ALG Assumption | | Reduce 5% Vote from H2 Bi | | Y11 - Final oted State Budget | |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Amount of increase | | \$ | 1,288,607 | \$ | 1,216,533 |
| Step 1 - School debt | \$ 300,000 | \$ | 988,607 | \$ | 916,533 |
| Step 2 - Ameliorate cuts | \$ 700,000 | \$ | 288,607 | \$ | 216,533 |
| Step 3 - Allocate to taxpayer | \$ 500,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Step 4 - Allocate to reserves | \$ 400,000 | \$ | - | \$ | . - |
| Step 5 - Ameliorate cuts | | | | | |

Discussion Scenario: IMPLEMENTATION OF WATERFALL PLAN - September 2010

<u>Steps 1 and 2</u> Completed and presented to Annual Town Meeting <u>Step 3</u> will be completed as part of the tax-setting process in December 2010.

| | | | Town Meeting - FY11 - Reduce 5% from H2 | | FY11 - Final Voted State Budget | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|---|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Step 3 - how much is available | e to allocate to ta | axpayer? | \$ | 288,607 | \$ | 216,533 |
| Less shortfall in local receipts | ~ | \$150,000 | \$ | 138,607 | \$ | 66,533 |
| New funds available from SFSF, EdJobs (see attached detail memo from Acton/AB Schools) | | | | | | |
| Acton - SFSF | | \$27,704 | | | | |
| Acton - Ed Jobs Grant | | \$353,078 | | | | |
| Acton's share of AB SFSF Acton's share of AB Ed | | \$29,485 | | | | |
| Jobs Grant | | \$370,083 | | | | |
| | Subtotal | \$780,349 | | | | |

Christine Joyce

From: Steve Ledoux

Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2010 3:39 PM

To: Christine Joyce

Subject: FW: Draft Fincom Point of View for FY12

Steven L Ledoux Town Manager 472 Main Street Acton, MA 01720 Telephone (978) 929-6611

When writing or corresponding, please be aware that the Secretary of State has determined that most email is a public record and, therefore, may not be kept confidential.

From: MABAshton@aol.com [mailto:MABAshton@aol.com]

Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2010 5:06 PM

To: Board of Selectmen; Acton Public School Committee; Steve Ledoux; Steve Mills

Cc: Finance Committee

Subject: Draft Fincom Point of View for FY12

Dear Members of the School Committees and Board of Selectmen, Town Manager Ledoux, and Superintendent Mills,

Last night the Finance Committee discussed the attached draft of our Point of View for the FY12 budget and voted in favor of the following motion:

That the Finance Committee adopt the....First Draft Point of View with Respect to Budget Planning for FY2012 (the "FY 2012 Point of View") and, further, that the FY2012 Point of View be transmitted to all relevant interested parties, as determined by the Chair, with an invitation to comment.

Through our discussion, we concurred that the complete document represents a breadth of opinions on the budget that are held by the Finance Committee members. We'd welcome your response to our Point of View before our next meeting on Tuesday, November 30, when we expect to finalize and distribute more broadly to the public.

In the past, the POV has focused on the current year (FY12) and contemplated the potential revenues, requirements of the bottom line budgets, and appropriate use of reserves. This year, however, the unresolved funding for the Waterfall Proposal agreed to as part of the FY11 budgets has overshadowed this clarity. As a result, we have incorporated language to resolve the issue as part of the construct of the FY12 budgets in order to insure taxpayers that their interests are represented fairly.

To be precise, \$500,000 of tax relief is the target to fulfill promises to the voters at Town Meeting which were implicit in the plans we presented and the budgets that were approved. I would be remiss if I did not underscore the growing disappointment among my colleagues with the handling of the Waterfall proposal. As one of our members stated last night, "Everyone has received what they expected from the Waterfall except the taxpayer."

We will look forward to hearing your discussion at your next meetings and welcome questions you have in the meantime.

Mary Ann Ashton Chair, Acton Finance Committee

Town of Acton Finance Committee 11/9/2010

FY2012 Finance Committee Point of View with Respect to the 2012 Budget Planning Process

Background

| Int | ent |
|----------|--|
| | This memorandum is intended to describe the Town of Acton Finance Committee's Point of View with respect to planning for the FY2012 Town and School Budgets. |
| | As with prior FinCom Points of View, we invite comments back from the relevant operating boards and administrators. |
| Th | e Overall Economy |
| | While the economy is technically not in a recession, growth is anemic. The current macro-economic climate is trending neutrally at a low performance level. |
| <u> </u> | Despite the technicality of not being in a recession, financial pressure on households is intense, arising from multiple sources such as high unemployment, long unemployment, foreclosures, reduced incomes, reduced hours of income generating activity, declining home values, sharply increasing health care costs, continuing rapid growth in college expenses and flat-level funding of Social Security, to name just a few. |
| | Jobs are the number one issue. For the last three months, the national unemployment rate has been 9.6%. The last time the rate was below 9% was April 2009, 17 months ago. The recovery, while in progress, will be much slower than we have seen with other recessions. |
| | There are some positive signs, if a bit hidden. Consumer confidence is low but has bounced off the bottom and is choppily trending up. Consumer debt has declined over the last two years, as households perform the heavy lifting of aligning debt levels with reduced incomes. |
| | Also, inflation is not a major factor in our current deliberations, and deflation is being attacked right now by an aggressive Federal Reserve program of purchasing securities. |
| | Given the recent political events on the national stage, it is highly unlikely that the same forms of "stimulus" that bolstered state and local revenues over the last two years will continue. |
| | Although the national economy is experiencing signs of recovery, local governments will continue to lag behind by 12 to 18 months and will be the last sector to emerge from recession. |
| Sta | te of Massachusetts Fiscal Picture |
| | Overall, the picture has improved from last year, but budget pressures remain intense. The size of the budget deficit at the State level for FY2012 is commonly targeted to be in the range of \$2 billion. |
| | The latest results on the referenda were mixed, with the elimination of the sales tax on alcohol (approximately \$125 million per year) being approved, but the reduction in the overall sales tax (approximately \$2 billion per year) being rejected. |
| | Some recent month over month DoR reports have showed better than anticipated results, which is exactly the opposite of last year at this time. |
| | Pressure on all Cherry Sheet revenues will be intense as the State attempts to maintain Chapter 70 school aid at current levels. The utilization of any remaining federal stimulus monies at the local level will not completely offset this pressure, especially in special education and transportation. |
| | Historically, when the state budget is strained, municipal finances are disrupted. (Please see at the end of this POV an up to date chart, entitled "Change in GDP vs. Change in State Aid" for some historical perspective.) |

A Comment on FY2011

Last year, during a time of great volatility in state revenue projections, the Finance Committee proposed a policy now known as the Waterfall, which the Board of Selectmen and School Committees voted to approve and was used as part of the presentation at Town Meeting.

To our great good fortune, revenues came in far in excess of expectations. The Waterfall policy must be implemented this year to provide taxpayer relief as all Boards agreed last spring. Ample revenue sources are in place and must be allocated properly prior to the setting of the tax rate in December. If for some reason, the Waterfall policy does not get implemented, despite the availability of funds, the remaining amount calculated and due for property tax relief should be taken off the top before allocations according to the split.

Point of View with Respect to Acton's FY 2012 Budget

Current Reserves Assumptions

The following assumptions with respect to reserves were used in creating this document.

| | Amounts from FY2011 | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Reserve | POV (for Reference Only) | Approximate Amount for FY2012 |
| Free Cash | \$2.3 million | \$4.7 million |
| NESWC Fund Balance | \$4.3 million | \$2.4 million |
| NESWC Environmental | N/A (not broken out | |
| Damages Fund | separately) | \$1.0 million |
| | | \$1.44 million (Acton's share, |
| | | including additional transportation |
| E&D | \$1.0 million | aid for FY2011) |
| Overlay Undeclared Surplus | \$1.275 million | \$0 |
| Allocation of Free Cash from | | |
| October Special Town Meeting | N/A | (\$0.316) million |
| Total Reserves | \$8.875 million | \$9.224 million |

There are some other atypical sources of restricted funds that should be noted:

| Approximate Amount | |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| \$540k (Acton's share) | |
| \$698k (Acton's share) | |
| \$1.238 million | |
| | \$540k (Acton's share) |

The Health Insurance Trust remains in strong shape. The latest report is as follows:

- 1. On a modified cash-flow basis, FY2011continues to be a good year.
- 2. The trust is running a positive variance of \$500,923.
- 3. A draft audit for FY2010 showed a small operating loss, modestly exceeded by investment income, resulting in a slight increase in net assets to \$2.96 million.
- 4. Exclusive of Incurred but Not Recorded (IBNR) expenditures, the trust has over 20% net assets as a proportion of expenses.

| The | e Current St | ate of Acton Finance | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The financi | al management of the Town and Schools is well respecte | d, as | manifested by the AAA | | | | |
| | bond rating | from Standard & Poor's Ratings Service. | | | | | | |
| | The Town of Acton's overall financial position is strong, due to prudent cost and expenditure | | | | | | | |
| controls. | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | The slate of major capital investments occurred in the la | ite 90 | 's and early 00's, so major | | | | |
| | | infrastructure is in good condition and capacity is adequ | | | | | | |
| | 0 | The continuing investment via Community Preservation | | | | | | |
| | | what otherwise might increase pressure for capital inves | | | | | | |
| | With respec | ct to the balance sheet, Acton is in strong shape with subs | | | | | | |
| | | cash, such as free cash, E&D and NESWC fund balances | | | | | | |
| | | inancial decisions, although, with an expected deficit of S | | | | | | |
| | | halt to federal stimulus supports, this latitude is and wil | | | | | | |
| | | noting that the school system has received extraordinary | | | | | | |
| | | 's are all Acton's share): | | | | | | |
| | 0 | Chapter 70 funding increase over initial budget: | +\$1 | ,216k | | | | |
| | 0 | One time adjustment of Transportation Aid | | 491k | | | | |
| | 0 | ARRA Federal Grant | | 541k | | | | |
| | 0 | EduJobs Grant | | 698k | | | | |
| | 0 | Total School Revenues Above Expectations | | 2,946k | | | | |
| | | et to the income statement: | ι Ψ2 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | |
| | 0 | Revenue from property taxes remains steady with no ma | aior d | lelinguency trends appearing. | | | | |
| | 0 | With respect to Fees collected, we are aware of no mate | | | | | | |
| | 0 | Cost and spending control is a continuous focus. Each of | | | | | | |
| | • | budgets very conservatively. | Porus | | | | | |
| | 0 | The FinCom has confidence that the administrations of | the o | perating entities understand | | | | |
| | • | the magnitude of the current fiscal problems and will vi | | | | | | |
| | | actions related thereto. | 5 0.00 | and the objection | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Ove | erall FY2012 | 2 Budget Planning | | | | | | |
| | | or FY2012 must be on control of labor costs. | | | | | | |
| | | ng entities should target a 0% increase in the FY2012 bu | dget | over the FY2011 budget. | | | | |
| | ~ | f health insurance costs. | 8 | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | specific, the operating entities should target a 0% increa | se in | total labor costs, exclusive | | | | |
| _ | | surance costs. For purposes of this note, labor costs incli | | | | | | |
| | | ation, such as steps and lanes and retirement bonuses. | | | | | | |
| | - | this objective, the operating entities must negotiate labor | agre | ements that result in 0% | | | | |
| | | compensation costs. The efforts to increase the share em | | | | | | |
| | | e trend that must continue. | -p-cJ | puly real recurrence and extension | | | | |
| | | ng entities should continue strict hiring restrictions, not p | าลง ลเ | ny bonuses, and allow | | | | |
| _ | attrition to reduce headcount where appropriate. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| _ | this goal. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| _ | horizon. | | | | | | | |
| | | ns should focus on maintaining existing assets and, if esse | ential | should be funded within | | | | |
| _ | | 2 ½ limits, unless the dollar cost of the essential need is | | | | | | |
| | _ | an \$2 million in reserves should be allocated to plug the | _ | - | | | | |
| Prudently this will leave approximately \$7.2 million in the primary reserves of Free Cash, E&D and | | | | | | | | |
| | | and Balance accounts. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

As noted above, if for some reason the Waterfall policy does not get implemented, despite the availability of funds, the remaining amount calculated and due for property tax relief should be taken off the top before allocations according to the split.

Driver Expectations

- ☐ <u>Labor Costs.</u> Labor costs comprise more than three quarters of our total budget.
 - o From 2007 to 2010, compensation costs have escalated rapidly; in part because of rapidly escalating health insurance costs and in part due to collective bargaining agreements which were made when economic times were better.
 - o For example, a typical teacher with a Masters Degree plus 15 years of experience saw their salary increase 24% from 2007 to 2010 which is an average of about 8 % per year. Health Insurance costs escalated at about that same rate. A typical Police/ Fire dispatcher saw their salary increase by 6.5% over the same period which is about 2.2% per year. However they too would have seen health insurance costs increase at the 8% per year level driving up the total compensation costs.
 - The continuing increases in labor costs that have occurred over the last several years are unsustainable.
 - The straight facts are that our current employees will need to make a choice about whether there will be reductions in force or lower overall compensation.
 - o The operating entities should target a 0% increase in total compensation, exclusive of health insurance costs.
- Morale and the Future. We must acknowledge the extraordinary work, effort, spirit and competence of our employees. Our Town's success in all dimensions would not occur without their capabilities and steady work. The Great Recession and the constant drumbeat for lower compensation levels wear on our employees. We must recognize their discomfort and work to ensure that they understand the reasons for these tight budgets. Further, in the future, as in the past, staff must be encouraged to believe that the citizens of the Town of Acton will be ready to support very competitive compensation levels when the circumstances change again, as surely they will.
- Personnel. Growth in personnel for both major operating entities should be tied into the settlements of labor negotiations. With limited dollars available for labor costs, any increase in individual compensation will need to be offset by changes in other elements, such as headcount.
- Benefits adjustment. Of course, benefits are a component of overall compensation. Broadly speaking, the benefits supplied to our employees are more favorable than in other communities to which we benchmark. Something has to give. The most notable area is with respect to Health Care reimbursement. The levels that the Town and Schools pay for employee health care must be reduced. Early Retirement Incentive for all employees should be examined and reduced, as this item is significantly mis-aligned with the compensation structures of the tax paying citizenry and other benchmarked communities.
- ☐ Chapter 70 funding is volatile. At this time, local aid allocated via the Chapter 70 formula should be budgeted at a level of 10% lower in FY2012 than for FY2011. This number is a placeholder at this time, pending better information.
- Health insurance expense. The Trustees of the Health Insurance Trust believe that underlying health inflation is running at about 9%. We do not have any information to contradict this assumption about costs, although the Trust itself is in strong financial position. This could be an area of savings as certainty increases.
- <u>Utilities Expense.</u> Utility costs should be level funded or reduced through energy efficiencies. Grant monies that become available should be focused on these goals.

Revenue Split

Any unfulfilled obligations for FY2011 tax relief, pursuant to the FY2011 Waterfall policy should be deducted from revenues allocated to the schools and designated to FY2012 tax relief.

| | Else, | the revenue s | plit in | place | should | be | maintained. |
|--|-------|---------------|---------|-------|--------|----|-------------|
|--|-------|---------------|---------|-------|--------|----|-------------|

☐ As a lagging and nagging general principle, the "Town" side of the revenue split should increase over time. The Finance Committee should take on this critical issue and make recommendations to the operating entities.

Citizen Expectations with respect to Taxes and Services

- Revenue from property taxes is our most stable source. At this time, it is not prudent to consider a taxation level below the 2 ½% trigger point.
- ☐ The tax relief components of the Waterfall public policy should be implemented.
- ☐ It is very likely that taxpayers will face increased difficulty in paying taxes, so all abatement programs must be actively advertised.
- Similarly, other Town and School services that can provide support for vulnerable citizens, including transportation and health services, should be highlighted and marketed aggressively.
- ☐ Citizens should be prepared to experience service reductions in the short term as the policies of the operating entities engage. Similarly, all citizens should be aware that the capacity to respond to requests not contemplated in the annual budget will be limited in order to preserve flexibility necessary to accommodate the changing economic picture.

